



Правно - исторически факултет

2700 Благоевград, пл. „Георги Измирлиев - Македончето“
тел./факс: 073/88 66 17; <https://law.swu.bg> e-mail : admin@law.swu.bg

КОНСПЕКТ ПО ДИСЦИПЛИНАТА „УПРАВЛЕНИЕ НА РИСКА В ЗДРАВНАТА СИСТЕМА“

1. Общи принципи и концепции за управление на риска
2. Основни правни понятия, свързани с управлението на риска в здравеопазването
3. Въведение в управлението на риска в здравната система
4. Видове рискове в здравните организации
5. Управление на здравния риск чрез работа върху грешките
6. Методология за системно управление на здравния риск
7. Анализ на контекста и картографиране на процесите
8. Идентификация и оценка на здравния риск
9. Анализ на режимите на дисфункция и неефективността
10. Управлението на риска в здравеопазването като процес
11. Ключови структурни елементи на програмата за управление на риска
12. Стъпки в процеса на управление на риска
13. Специфики на управлението на клиничния риск в здравните организации
14. Особености на управлението на неклиничните рискове в здравната система

ЛИТЕРАТУРА:

A) ОСНОВНА ЛИТЕРАТУРА:

1. Веков, Т. Управление и икономика на здравеопазването и здравните реформи – II издание, Български кардиологичен институт, София, 2012.
2. Вълков, А. Конкурентоспособност на публичните многопрофилни болници: концепция, показатели и стратегии за подобряване на конкурентните предимства – списание Икономически алтернативи, брой 3 от 2007 г, УИ „Стопанство“, София.

3. Делчева, Е. Конкурентоспособност на здравеопазването – <http://yearbook.unwe.bg/2007/7.pdf>
4. Попов М., Е. Делчева. Технологии в здравеопазването – УИ „Стопанство“, София, 1996 Симеонов, О. Балансираните карти за оценка – списание Икономически алтернативи, брой 4 от 2005 г, УИ „Стопанство“, София.

Б) ДОПЪЛНИТЕЛНА ЛИТЕРАТУРА:

1. Apkon, M., Leonard, J., Probst, L., DeLizio, L., Vitale, R., 2004. Design of a safer approach to intravenous drug infusions: failure mode effects analysis. *Quality and Safety in Healthcare* 13, 265-271.
2. Bridges, J.F.P., 2006. Lean Systems Approaches to Health Technology Assessment. A Patient-Focused Alternative to Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *PharmacoEconomics* 24 (2), 101-109.
3. Brown, A., Eatock, J., Dixon, D., Meenan, B.J., Anderson, J., 2008. Quality and continuous improvement in medical device manufacturing. *The TQM Magazine* 20 (6), 541-555.
4. Burgmeier, J., 2002. Failure mode and effect analysis: an application in reducing risk in blood transfusion. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality Improvement* 28(6), 331-339.
5. Davis, P., Lay-Yee, R., Shug, S., Briant, R., Scott, A., Johnson, S., Bingley, W., 2001. Adverse events regional feasibility study: indicative findings. *The New Zealand Medical Journal* 114 (1131), 203-205.
6. Embrey, D., 2000. Data Collection Systems. Human Reliability Associates, Wigan, Lancashire, UK.
7. Harms-Ringdahl, L., 2009. Analysis of safety functions and barriers in accidents. *Safety Science* 47(3), 353-363.
8. Harvard Business School, 2004. Managing Projects Large and Small – The Fundamental Skills for Delivering on Budget and on Time. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
9. Hollnagel, E., 2004. Barriers and Accident Prevention. Ashgate Publishing Limited, Aldershot, UK.
10. Johansson, H.J., McHugh, P., Pendlebury, J., Wheeler, W.A., 1993. Business Process Reengineering: Breakpoint Strategies for Market Dominance. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
11. Kohn, L., Corrigan, J., Donaldson, M. (Eds.), 1999. To err is human: building a safer health system. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.

12. Leape, L.L., Brennan, T.A., Laird, N., Lawthers, A.G., Localio, A.R., Barnes, B.A., Hebert, L., Newhouse, J.P., Weiler, P.C., Hiatt, H., 1991. The nature of adverse events in hospitalized patients. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study II. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 324 (6), 377-384.
13. Liker, J.K., 2004. *The Toyota way. 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer.* McGraw-Hill.
14. Liker, J.K., Hoseus, M., 2008. *Toyota Culture. The heart and soul of the Toyota way.* McGraw-Hill.
15. Lyons, M., 2009. Towards a framework to select techniques for error prediction: Supporting novice users in the healthcare sector. *Applied Ergonomics* 40, 379–395.
16. Lyons, M., Adams, S., Woloshynowych, M., Vincent, C., 2004. Human reliability analysis in healthcare: A review of techniques. *International Journal of Risk and Safety in Medicine* 16 (4), 223-237.
17. McNally, K.M., Page, M.A., Sunderland, V.B., 1997. Failure-mode and effects analysis in improving a drug distribution system. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy* 54(2), 171-177.
18. Ookalkar, A.D., Joshi, A.G., Ookalkar, D. S., 2009. Quality improvement in hemodialysis process using FMEA. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management* 26 (8), 817-830.
19. Project Management Institute, 2004. *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide), Third Edition.* Project Management Institute, Newtown Square, PA.
20. Reason, J., 2001. Understanding adverse events: the human factor. In: Vincent, C. (Eds.), *Clinical risk management: enhancing patient safety, Second Edition.* BMJ Books, London, UK.
21. Reason, J., 2002. Combating omission errors through task analysis and good reminders. *Quality and Safety in Health Care* 11 (1), 40-44.
22. Ross Baker, G., Norton, P.G., Flintoft, V., Blais, R., Brown, A., Cox, J., Etchells, E., Ghali, W.A., Hébert, P., Majumdar, S.R., O'Beirne, M., Palacios-Derflingher, L., Reid, R.J., Sheps, S., Tamblyn, R., 2004. The Canadian Adverse Events Study: the incidence of adverse events among hospital patients in Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 170 (11), 1678-1686.

23. Saizy-Callaert, S., Causse, R., Thebault, A., Chouaid, C., 2001. Analysis of mode of failure, their effects and criticality: improving of the hospital drug prescribing process. Therapie 56(5), 525-531.
24. Sale, D., 2005. Understanding Clinical Governance and Quality Assurance. Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
25. Stalhandske, E., DeRosier, J., Patail, B., Gosbee, J., 2003. How to Make the Most of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis. Biomedical Instrumentation & Technology 37(2), 96-102.
26. Thomas, E.J., Studdert, D.M., Burstin, H.R., Orav, E.J., Zeena, T., Williams, E.J., Howard, K.M., Weiler, P.C., Brennan, T.A., 2000. Incidence and types of adverse events and negligent care in Utah and Colorado. Medical Care 38(3), 261-271.
27. Tonneau, D., 1997. Management Tools and Organization as Key Factors towards Quality Care: Reflections from Experience. International Journal for Quality in Health Care 9(3), 201-205.
28. Vincent, C., 2006. Patient Safety. Elsevier Science Limited, London, UK.
29. Vincent, C., Neale, G., Woloshynowych, M., 2001. Adverse events in British Hospitals: preliminary retrospective record review. BMJ 322, 517-519.
30. Wilson, R.M., Runciman, W.B., Gibberd, R.W., Harrison, B.T., Newby, L., Hamilton, J.D., 1995. The Quality in Australian Health Care Study. The Medical Journal of Australia 163(9), 458-471.

Благоевград, 2021 г.

Изготвил: доц. д-р Иван Тодоров